1001

DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM

The 3rd March, 1976

No. 1072-6PP-76/5792.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land specified below is needed by the Government at public expence, for a public purpose, namely, for the extension/development of Dharuhera Tourist Complex in village Dharuhera Hadbast No. 299, tehsil Rewari, district Mohindergarh for which notification No. 4758-6PP/75/25838-A, dated 1st September, 1975 under Section 4 of the land Acquistion Act, 1894, has been published, it is hereby notified that land in the locality specified below is likely to be required for the obove purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information of all to who it may concern.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil) Rewari, district Mohindergarh and the Director Tourism, Haryana Chandigarh.

SPECIFICATION

District -	Tehsil	Village/Locality Hadbast No.		Area		Rect./Killa No.
				K	M	
Mohindergarh	Rewari	Dharuhera, H.B. No. 29	9	6	13	237 .
				2	00	235
				6	00	236
				7	01	238
				6	03	239
		To	otal	27	17	

ASHOK PAHWA, Joint Secy.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

The 1st March, 1976

No. 2361-Ed.II(IE)-76/6836.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of section 2 of the Haryana Aided Schools (Security of Service) Act, 1971, the Governor of Haryana hereby authorises the Joint Director (Education) Public Instruction, Haryana, for the purpose of the said clause.

B. S. OJHA, Commissioner & Secy.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 24th February, 1976

No. 983-4ab-76/5441.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the Dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Dalip Metal Industries, Ambala Road, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 216 of 1972

between

SPRI SANSAR SINGH WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S DALIP METAL INDUSTRIES, AMBALA, ROAD, JAGADHRI

AWARD

By order No. ID/AMB/241-B-72/39460, dated 30th August, 1972 the Governor of Haryana, eferred the following dispute between the management of M/s Dalip Metal Industries, Ambala Road,

٠,

692

Jagadhri and its workman Shri Sansar Singh to this Labour Court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sansar Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties put in their appearance in response to the usual notices of reference sent to them, and filed their pleadings giving rise to an issue frammed in terms of the dispute stated above.

The workman, however, failed to put in his appearance on 13th January, 1976 despite being directed to do so, — vide my order dated 9th September, 1975 with the result that he was proceeded with ex parte on that date. Shri Subhash Chander authorised representative for the management made an exparte statement that the workman had received from the management sum of Rs 125 in full and final settlement of his claim leading to this reference. I have no reason to disbelieve the statement of Shri Subhash Chander particularly when the workman had suffered ex parte proceedings and not taken care to pursue the demand.

I thus relying the ex pa te statement of Shri Subhash Chander hold that there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I answer the reference while returning the award in terms of my findings made above.

Dated 15th January, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak

No. 980-4 Lab-76/5443.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jai Ganesh Metal Industries, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN. PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 15 of 1973

between

SHRI DHANJEE RAM WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JAI GANESH METAL INDUSTRIES, JAGADHRI

AWARD

By order No. ID/Am⁵/273-A-72/10137, dated 12th March, 1973 the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jai Ganesh Metal Industries, Jagadhri and its workman Shri Dhanjee Ram to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Dhanjee Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties appeared in this Court and filed their pleadings giving rise to an issue in terms of the dispute as stated above.

Shri Madhu Sudan Saran Cowshish authorised representative for the workman made a statement on 12th January, 1976 withdrawing the demand raised by the workman on the management leading to this reference, on the ground that the same had been satisfied and settled.

It would thus appear that there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I hold accordingly and answer the reference while returning the award in terms of my findings made above.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Dated 14th January, 1976.